UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,529	03/30/2004	Tadahiko Kubota	09792909-5847	6143
	7590 08/06/201 EIN NATH & ROSEN'	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 0610	080	ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH		
WACKER DRIVE STATION, WILLIS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1795	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		08/06/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Cumment		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/813,529		KUBOTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Alix Elizabeth E	chelmeyer	1795			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe	ears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions folix (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply to be ply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period wil will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS C 6(a). In no event, how Il apply and will expire cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 27 Apr	ril 2010					
•			action is non-fi	nal.				
<i>'</i> —		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
<i>,</i> —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims							
- 4\⊠	Claim(s) 1.3.6 and 8 is/are pending	in the applic	cation					
-	Claim(s) <u>1,3,6 and 8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1,3,6 and 8</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or	election require	ement.				
	on Papers		·					
	-	- F						
•	The specification is objected to by the			signated to butbe [-vaminar			
· ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		•	-				
	Applicant may not request that any obje		- · ·		. ,	ED 1 101/d)		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to		· ·			, ,		
	-	о бу те сха	alliller. Note til	e attached Office	Action of form F	10-132.		
<u> </u>	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 0	application from the Internation		•					
^ 8	ee the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list o	of the certified o	opies not receive	a.			
Attachment			_	1				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4) _	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	. 0 070)	5)	Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/813,529 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 27, 2010 has been entered.

2. Claims 1, 3, 6, and 8 are pending and are rejected finally for the reasons given below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sonoda et al. (US 2002/0028389) in view of Oyama et al. (WO 02/33765) and Okamoto et al. (US 2003/0027050).

Sonoda et al. teach a non-aqueous electrolyte for use in an electrochemical device such as a lithium battery (abstract, [0001]). As for claim 1, it is well known to those having ordinary skill in the art that a lithium battery contains a cathode, an anode and an electrolyte.

Art Unit: 1795

The electrolyte of Sonoda et al. contains a solute represented by formula (1): $MBR^1R^2R^3R^4$ (abstract, [0010]). R^1 , R^2 , R^3 and R^4 may be represented by C_nF_{2n+1} or $C_mF_{2m+1}SO_2$ ([0011]). Additionally, since formula (1) is in solution in the electrolyte, it can be considered as its cation and anion: M^+ ($BR^1R^2R^3R^4$) $^-$ ([0019]).

A specific example of the material represented by formula (1) includes LiB(CF₃)₄ ([0012]), which is identical to the material disclosed in the instant specification (see paragraph 5, above).

As for the limitation concerning the cathode active material, Sonoda et al. disclose that the positive active material is a transition metal complex oxide ([0051]).

As for claim 3, examples of the negative material include carbon materials, TiS₂, and alkali metals such as silicon ([0044]).

With further regard to claim 1, Sonoda et al. fail to teach that the moisture content in the electrolyte is 100 ppm or less at a mass ratio in relation to the electrolyte.

Sonoda et al. teach that too much moisture in the electrolyte causes it to decompose ([0004]).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to find the lowest tolerable amount of moisture in the electrolyte to prevent decomposition, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. MPEP 2144.05 (II B).

Regarding claims 1, 6, and 8, the electrolyte of Sonoda et al. may also include additional anions such as one or a mixture of PF_6^- , BF_4^- , CIO_4^- , AsF_6^- or $N(CF_3SO_2)_2^-$ ([0068]).

Sonoda et al. fail to teach that the electrolyte also contains an anion having Chemical formula 4.

Oyama et al. teach a gel-type polymer electrolyte preferably includes the salts discussed above as well as C(CF₃SO₂)₃- (page 18 lines 21-26).

It is well known in the art to substitute various Li salts, or anion, in the gel polymer electrolyte of batteries, as evidenced by the teachings of both Sonoda et al. and Oyama et al. of various different anions for use in electrolytes,

One of ordinary skill in the art could have substituted or added the C(CF₃SO₂)₃ anion disclosed by Oyama et al. in the electrolyte of Sonoda et al. and the results would have been predictable. MPEP 2141 III.

With further regard to claim 1, Sonoda et al. teach silicon as the anode active material ([0044]) but fail to teach that the anode active material is a silicon thin film.

Okamoto et al. teach the use of a silicon thin film as the anode active material in a rechargeable lithium battery ([0037]-[0038]). Okamoto et al. teach that silicon is preferable to other materials, and that it is capable of storing lithium via alloying.

Okamoto et al. teach that the thin film may be made by CVD or sputtering ([0039]).

According to Applicant's arguments, filed February 12, 2009, the gas phase deposition method inherently inhibits destruction by expansion or shrinkage of the anode material and forms an alloy between at least part of the interface between the active material layer and the anode current collector (see page 6, first full paragraph).

It would be desirable to make a silicon thin film anode active material layer in the battery of Sonoda et al. such as taught by Okamoto et al. since the skilled artisan would recognize that the anode active material could be made with a thin film, reducing the weight, size, and energy density of the battery.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make a silicon thin film anode active material layer in the battery of Sonoda et al. such as taught by Okamoto et al. since the skilled artisan would recognize that the anode active material could be made with a thin film, reducing the weight, size, and energy density of the battery.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed April 27, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the moisture content in a battery in which only LiPF6 is used has "little" affect on the storage characteristics, while a reduction in moisture content "significantly improved" battery characteristics in a battery having different chemistry. It appears that Applicant is arguing that the changing of moisture content has unexpected results, but the examiner is unconvinced. Applicant's example to show that

Application/Control Number: 10/813,529 Page 6

Art Unit: 1795

moisture content does not affect storage characteristics in the battery having only LiPF6 does not show that moisture content cannot be altered in the battery of the instant claims and the battery that is obvious over the cited prior art.

Conclusion

6. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1795

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer whose telephone number is (571)272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/PATRICK RYAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1795

aee